

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
09/509,588	03/29/2000	OTGER WEWERS	P00.0451	9579		
29177	7590 03/27/2003					
BELL, BOYD & LLOYD, LLC			EXAM	EXAMINER		
P. O. BOX 1135 CHICAGO, IL 60690-1135			MILORD, MARCEAU			
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
			2685	8		
			DATE MAILED: 03/27/2003			

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

•		Applicat	ion No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		09/509,5	888	WEWERS, OTGE	WEWERS, OTGER			
		Examine	r	Art Unit				
		Marceau		2685				
	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status								
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>09 January 2003</u> .							
2a)□								
3)□	·—							
Disposition of Claims								
4)⊠)⊠ Claim(s) <u>3-10</u> is/are pending in the application.							
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5)□	Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠	Claim(s) <u>3-10</u> is/are rejected.							
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers								
9)[The specification is objected to by the Ex	aminer.						
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.								
	Applicant may not request that any objection	on to the drawing(s	s) be held in abey	ance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a)				
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.								
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.								
12)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.								
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120								
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).								
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:								
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 								
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).								
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.								
Attachment(s)								
2) Notic	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-s nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper		· —	Summary (PTO-413) Paper No Informal Patent Application (P				
C. Detect of T	adamad Office							

Art Unit: 2685

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 3-10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Thompson et al (US Patent No 5335276) in view of Stern et al. (WO 97/14222).

Regarding claim 3, Thompson discloses an integrated circuit (62 of fig. 7 or 262 of fig. 8) in a communications terminal device (fig. 1, figs. 7-8; col. 2, line 54- col. 3, line 65) comprising: a microcontroller (80 of fig. 7 or 280 of fig. 8) a radio-cell specific logic module (col. 4, line 12-col. 5, line 68; col. 10, line 46- col. 11, line 12); a digital signal processor (76 of figs. 7-8) for digital voice processing (col. 11, lines 13-58); and an interface (50 and 150 of fig. 1, or 90 of fig. 8, 100 of fig. 7) to a digital voice memory (84 of fig. 7 or 284 of fig. 8).

However, Thompson does not specifically disclose the feature of an interface with an integrated circuit, with which a call-answering functionality is enabled by the microcontroller in combination with the digital voice memory.

Stern et al, on the other hand, discloses in figure 1, a personal voice server system which comprises a highly integrated voice chip, a flash memory coupled to the voice chip, and a control software operating a control processor in the voice chip. This portable device permits the user to record, edit, play and review voice messages and other audio material which can be received

Art Unit: 2685

from, and transmitted to a remote device processing or interactive voice response host computer over a communication link. This device also contains its own power source, integrated circuitry and control buttons to permit the localized recording, editing, storage and playback of audio signals through a built-in speaker, microphone, and a removable card (col. 4, lines 11-38; col. 5, lines 1-19; col. 6, line 30- col. 7, line 10). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to apply the technique of Stern to the communication system of Thompson in order to facilitate high speed transmission of voice messages.

Regarding claims 4 and 8, Thompson as modified discloses an integrated circuit in a communications terminal device (fig. 1, figs. 7-8; col. 2, line 54- col. 3, line 65) comprising: a microcontroller (80 of fig. 7 or 280 of fig. 8) a radio-cell specific logic module (col. 4, line 12-col. 5, line 68; col. 10, line 46- col. 11, line 12) wherein call-answering software is deposited in the microcontroller (col. 7, line 22- col. 8, line 55).

Regarding claims 5 and 9, Thompson as modified discloses an integrated circuit in a communications terminal device (fig. 1, figs. 7-8; col. 2, line 54- col. 3, line 65) comprising: a microcontroller (80 of fig. 7 or 280 of fig. 8) a radio-cell specific logic module (col. 4, line 12-col. 5, line 68; col. 10, line 46- col. 11, line 12) wherein the microcontroller, the radio cell-specific logic module and the digital signal processor are connected to one another via an internal bus system (64 of figs. 7-8; col. 9, line 55- col. 10, line 68).

Regarding claims 6 and 10, Thompson as modified discloses an integrated circuit in a communications terminal device (fig. 1, figs. 7-8; col. 2, line 54- col. 3, line 65) comprising: a

Art Unit: 2685

microcontroller (80 of fig. 7 or 280 of fig. 8) a radio-cell specific logic module (col. 4, line 12-col. 5, line 68; col. 10, line 46-col. 11, line 12) wherein the digital voice memory also is connected to the internal bus system (64 of figs. 7-8; col. 9, line 55-col. 10, line 68).

Regarding claim 7,Thompson discloses a mobile radio device (50 of fig. 1 or 90 of fig. 8) for wireless linking to a cellular radio network according to the DECT standard (fig. 1, figs. 7-8; col. 2, line 54- col. 3, line 65), comprising: an integrated circuit (62 of fig. 7 or 262 of fig. 8) having a microcontroller (80 of fig. 7 or 280 of fig. 8), a radio cell-specific logic module (col. 4, line 12- col. 5, line 68; col. 10, line 46- col. 11, line 12), a digital signal processor (76 of figs. 7-8) for digital voice processing (col. 11, lines 13-58)., and an interface (50 and 150 of fig. 1, or 90 of fig. 8, 100 of fig. 7) to a digital voice memory (84 of fig. 7 or 284 of fig. 8, 184 of figs. 7-8).

However, Thompson does not specifically disclose the feature of an interface with an integrated circuit, with which a call-answering functionality is enabled by the microcontroller in combination with the digital voice memory.

Stern et al, on the other hand, discloses in figure 1, a personal voice server system which comprises a highly integrated voice chip, a flash memory coupled to the voice chip, and a control software operating a control processor in the voice chip. This portable device permits the user to record, edit, play and review voice messages and other audio material which can be received from, and transmitted to a remote device processing or interactive voice response host computer over a communication link. This device also contains its own power source, integrated circuitry and control buttons to permit the localized recording, editing, storage and playback of audio signals through a built-in speaker, microphone, and a removable card (col. 4, lines 11-38; col. 5, lines 1-19; col. 6, line 30- col. 7, line 10). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of

Art Unit: 2685

ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to apply the technique of Stern to the communication system of Thompson in order to facilitate high speed transmission of voice messages.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 3-10 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Marceau Milord whose telephone number is 703-306-3023. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday 10-8.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Edward F Urban can be reached on 703-305-4385. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-872-9314 for regular communications and 703-872-9314 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-306-0377.

March 22, 2003

Art Unit: 2685

Page 6